



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/672,212

09/26/2003

Maurice Smith

34171

2888

23589

7590

08/31/2009

HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP

10801 Mastin Blvd., Suite 1000

Overland Park, KS 66210

EXAMINER

RIVIERE, HEIDI M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3689

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/31/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/672,212	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SMITH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HEIDI RIVIERE	<b>Art Unit</b> 3689	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HEIDI RIVIERE. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Jaclyn S. Alcantara. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,8,10 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wyatt (US 6/490530).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion focused on the interpretation of the claims as well as the details of the previous office action. The previous and current amendments to claim 1 were discussed in regards to the previous response to arguments. Applicant will amend the claims in areas they find necessary and a new search initiated if necessitated after review of future submissions.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/H. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3689	/Janice A. Mooneyham/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3689
------------------------------------	---